SURVEY OF FUNGICIDE USE IN SUGARBEET IN WESTERN NORTH DAKOTA AND EASTERN MONTANA IN 2007

Aaron L. Carlson, John L. Luecke, and Mohamed F.R. Khan

Sugarbeet Research Technician, Sugarbeet Research Specialist, and Extension Sugarbeet Specialist

North Dakota State University and University of Minnesota, Fargo, ND

Other portions of this survey can be found in the Weed Control and Entomology sections.

Sugarbeet growers were asked to report fungicide usage and to indicate the number of applications per acre as a part of the biennial survey of sugarbeet growers in western North Dakota and eastern Montana. Foliar fungicide was applied to 78% of sugarbeet acreage in 2007 (Table 1). This compares to 1% in 2005, 180% in 2003, and 191% in 2001. Super or Agri Tin was not applied by any respondents for only the second time throughout 10 years of surveying. The availability of fungicides such as Eminent and Headline has been one factor in the reduction of Super Tin use.

Fungicides were applied at a rate of 0.8 applications per respondent as calculated from Table 2. A summary of fungicide applications from 1991 to 2007 is shown in Table 2. Of the acres of sugarbeet treated with fungicide, 95% received aerial applications and 5% received applications by a ground sprayer (data not shown).

Cercospora leaf spot control was rated excellent or good by 79% of respondents (Table 3). This compares to 100% in 2005 and 94% in 2003.

Nearly 15% of respondent acres were seeded to rhizomania-resistant varieties (Table 4), increasing from 5% in 2005. The percent (14%) of respondent acres believed to be affected by rhizomania, aphanomyces, rhizoctonia, and fusarium is also shown in Table 4.

Table 1. A summary	of the fungi	cide use by	y survey res	pondents from	1991 to 2	2007

			Fungicide applied									
	Acres	Super/Agri				Tin +		Topsin/	Mancozebs			
Year	Planted	Tin	Eminent	Headline	Gem	Topsin	Mancozebs	Benlate	+ Topsin	Coppers	Other ¹	Total
			% of acres planted									
2007	8,346	-	35	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	78
2005	7,733	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
2003	11,732	16	61	78	18	-	7	1	-	-	-	180
2001	22,125	64	50	-	-	2	<1	75	-	-	-	191
1999	12,296	113	7	-	-	3	2	93	10	-	-	228
1997	11,059	77	-	-	-	-	-	19	-	6	-	101
1995	12,338	260	-	-	-	-	51	18	-	3	7	336
1993	9,242	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	2	43
1992	12,791	23	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	25
1991	15,784	41	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	9	57

¹Other includes 2007: Quadris; 1995: Du-Ter, AgscoTN, and sulfer; 1992: unknown; 1991: Du-Ter and AgscoTN

Year	Number of respondents	0	1	2	3	4	5			
				% of res	pondents					
2007	21	33	53	14	-	-	-			
2005	24	96	4	-	-	-	-			
2003	38	16	26	50	8	-	-			
2001	65	2	14	57	28	-	-			
1999	45	4	2	55	36	-	2			
1997	43	28	42	28	2	-	-			
1995	63	5	38	54	3	-	-			
1993	66	81	14	5	-	-	-			
1992	70	87	7	6	-	-	-			
1991	84	50	27	17	6	-	-			

 Table 2. A summary of the number of fungicide applications per respondent from 1991 to 2007.

 Fungicide applications

Table 3. Cercospora control rating by fungicide in 2007.

		_	Cercospora control rating					
Fungicide		Responses	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor		
			% of responses					
Eminent		5	60	40	-	-		
Headline		7	14	57	29	-		
Other ¹		2	50	-	50	-		
	Total	14	36	43	21	0		

¹Includes Quadris (2)

Table 4. Root diseases as a percent of planted acres from 2003 to 2007.

	Respondent	Seeded				
	acres	to Rhizomania	Affected by	Affected by	Affected by	Affected by
Year	planted	resistant variety	Rhizomania	Aphanomyces	Rhizoctonia	Fusarium
			%	of acres planted		
2007	8,346	14.9	0.2	3.4	6.9	3.5
2005	7,733	4.6	1.9	NA	NA	NA
2003	11,732	NA^1	2.8	NA	NA	NA

 $^{1}NA =$ Question not asked on that year's survey